1.4.2 Parousia Teachings

Some of the parousia teachings of Jesus overlap with the kingdom teachings (e.g., Matt 10:23; 16:27-8; 25; Luke 17:20-37), while others stand alone and are mostly included in the Olivet or Apocalyptic Discourse (Matt 24; Mark 13; Luke 17 and 21). These passages relate to the future final victory of the kingdom of God over the kingdom of this world per Revelation 11:15 (West 1999:14). Although there are other references made by Jesus of His coming again or Parousia (e.g., John 14:2-3; 21:22-3), and even references made by His actions (the triumphal entry into Jerusalem on a donkey on Palm Sunday as told in Luke 19:28-44—Kinman 1999:288-90, 293-4), the bulk of His teaching on the subject is contained in the Apocalyptic Discourse. And, it is that which will now be addressed. Although the Greek word parousia is only used in Matthew’s account of the Apocalyptic Discourse, the same concept carries through to the other two accounts. Consider Matthew’s use of parousia in the following examination of his Gospel account.

The Gospel of Matthew (probably written in the AD 60s) was set in an Old Testament prophetic time context. It was written with a Jewish audience in mind, from a Jewish perspective and most probably by the converted Jewish tax collector, the Apostle Matthew/Levi himself (Elwell and Yarbrough 1998:78-85). It was probably written originally in Hebrew and later in Greek (Fragments of Papias 6, ANF 1:154-5; The Church History of Eusebius 3.24.6, n. 5, NPNF 1:152-3, 3.39.16, NPNF 1:173).

The Hebrew word for coming used in Matthew 24 is bow, meaning “to go or come, bring.” Although different forms of this word are used in Matthew 24, the same meaning is applied to all usages (The New Testament in Hebrew and English 1981:52-55). However, the usage in verse 30: “the Son of Man coming on the clouds of the sky with power and great glory”
is a specific reference back to Daniel 7:13 which was written in Aramaic. The Aramaic word athah, meaning “to arrive: come, bring” (Wilson 1978:82-6; Wigram 1984:182-3) was used by Daniel in that verse. The word used for athah in the Septuagint is erchomenos (a form of erchomai—Brenton 1986:1061). This Hebraic text and usage of the word will now be compared to the Greek text where two separate words are used (parousia and erchomai).

Previous to this section of scripture, in Matthew 23, Jesus was talking to the Pharisees about the kingdom of heaven and the future of Jerusalem while at the Temple. He concludes in verse 39 by saying: “For I say to you, from now on you shall not see Me until you say, ‘Blessed is He who comes (erchomai) in the name of the Lord!’” Apparently, this is a statement about the nation of Israel not accepting Jesus as the Messiah until His Second Coming (Ryrie 1978:1489).

In Matthew 24:1-2, Jesus came out of the Temple, and after the disciples had pointed out the Temple buildings to Him, He said, “Do you not see all these things? Truly I say to you, not one stone here shall be left upon another, which will not be torn down.” One now knows that Jesus was prophesying about the destruction of the city of Jerusalem and the Temple by the Romans in AD 70 (fulfilled some forty years after He made that prophetic declaration). The first use of the word parousia is found in verse 3, where the disciples ask three questions: “Tell us, when will these things be, and what will be the sign of Your coming (parousia), and of the end of the age?” The context of the questions clearly points to the events surrounding the Old Testament prophecies of the day of the Lord and the Messiah establishing His kingdom on earth. This is evidenced by a similar question from the disciples just prior to Jesus’ ascension in Acts 1:6: “Is it at this time You are restoring the kingdom to Israel?” Matthew’s account alone contains three questions, the other two accounts (Mark 13 and Luke 21) only two, thereby excluding the question about Jesus’ Parousia. Since Matthew alone uses parousia, he was the
only one of the three Gospel writers of this Apocalyptic Discourse to be present as an eye-witness, he uses *erchomai* in other places in this text, and he had no concept of the Church as the new theocratic nation of God’s plan; *parousia* in this text probably refers to Jesus’ *coming* for His own holy people (the holy Jews or the restored Jewish nation referred to in Daniel 12:1). According to Stanley D. Toussaint, because of the passage’s strict Jewishness, neither the Church nor the Rapture of the Church is in view at all in Matthew 24 (1995:249-59).

Jesus then proceeds to answer their questions, starting in verse 4 (from a Jewish believer’s perspective in prophetic time, looking forward to apocalyptic time). He chronicles the first 3 ½ year period of the Tribulation through verse 14 and then speaks of the “Abomination of Desolation, spoken of through Daniel the prophet” in verse 15. This becomes the dividing point of the Tribulation period and starts the Great Tribulation (last 3 ½ years, as mentioned in verse 21).

Jesus then shifts to answering the question about His future *coming* in verse 23, by warning them not to be misled by false christs and false prophets. In verse 25, He emphasizes this point by saying “Behold, I have told you in advance.” He then goes on to describe His *coming* in verse 26, by saying what it will *not* be (based on any human knowledge, wisdom or origin). Then in verse 27, He states what it *will* be (based on heavenly origin, like “lightning”).

Verses 27-31 describe His *Coming*. However, based on the Greek text there appear to be two separate *comings* described, instead of two separate references to one *coming*. The first *coming* is contained in verse 27 using the word *parousia*. The exact same language is used in Luke 17:24, and is similar language to Paul’s description of the Rapture of the Church in 1 Corinthians 15 (“just as lightning comes from the east, and flashes even to the west,” compared to “in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye”). The second *coming* is addressed in verses 30 and
31, where the word *erchomenon* or *coming* with “power and great glory” is used (as also described in Dan 7:13, in the Septuagint, Matt 16:27; Mark 13:26; Luke 21: 27; and Rev 19:11-16). These two distinct *comings* are separated by verses describing the Battle of Armageddon, the conclusion of the Tribulation, Old Testament quotes about the “day of the Lord,” and the “sign of the Son of Man” appearing in the sky.

Then, associated with the *erchomenon coming* in verse 31, the angels will “gather together His *elect* from the four winds, from one end of the sky to the other.” Those who believe in a posttribulation Rapture (in conjunction with the Second Coming), use this verse (and parallel verses in Mark and Luke) to establish their position. However, the question remains: Who are the *elect* that the *angels are gathering* at this *coming* (from one end of the heavens to the other)? The word *eklektos* is used in the Greek for “elect.” This means the following: “chosen, select, by implication chosen with accessory idea of kindness, favor and love . . . to arrive at the proper conclusion of who the elect are in each instance of its occurrence the context has to be taken into account. *In Matthew 24:22, 24 and Mark 13:20-22, it is evident that the elect are only those who will be saved during the tribulation, not all the saved of all generations*” [emphasis added] (Zodhiates 1992:545). If one follows the logic that this whole discourse of Jesus is directed at the Jewish nation, then the *elect* would be the Jewish nation that accepts Jesus as the Messiah at His Second Coming (joined by the Old Testament saints already in Paradise—from one end of the heavens to the other) (Toussaint 1995:242-3). Note that the angels do the gathering in this instance, as compared to Jesus Himself doing the gathering in 2 Thessalonians 2:1, at the Rapture of the Church.

This description of the *comings* in Matthew 24 is almost identical to Luke’s in 17:22-37, except Luke uses “so will the Son of Man be *in His day*” instead of *parousia* (although Metzger
(1975:167) comments that some readings of this phrase do contain *parousia* to describe the *first coming* addressed in the text and *apokalupto* (“to remove a veil or covering exposing to open view what was before hidden, to make manifest or reveal a thing”—Zodhiates 1992:224-5) to describe the *second coming* in the text. If this passage in Luke 17 is combined with his account of Jesus’ Apocalyptic Discourse in Luke 21 (where an inference is made to the Rapture of the Church in verse 36: “to escape (ekpheugo) all these things that are about to take place and stand before the Son of Man”), a case can be made that Luke is also forcing an apocalyptic time interpretation into Jesus’ prophetic time discourse. This may be further confirmed by the use of *parousia* in Jesus’ relating the *first coming* (of the two comings in the text) to the days of Noah in Matthew 24:37-39 and *erchomai* to the *second coming* (of the two comings in the text) to the faithful householder and the wise servant in verses 42-51. Noah and his family were saved (*sozo*) from the flood by God seven days/a week (strikingly similar to Daniel’s “seventieth week”) before the Flood came (Gen 7:4). In the next two parables that follow in Matthew 24, the focus is on the day of the Lord coming “like a thief” or “on a day when the servant does not expect” (similar to Paul’s description of the day of the Lord in 1 Thessalonians 5:2).

From Matthew’s singular use of the word *bow* to refer to *coming* in Hebrew in Matthew 24, one would assume that Jesus was only talking about a single *coming* at the end of the Tribulation for the Jewish nation. However, taking the same text in Greek, a case can be made that Matthew’s apparent separate use of the words *parousia* and *erchomai* describes two separate comings related to the Lord’s apocalyptic *Parousia*. It also may be an extrapolated interpretation to say that the specific use of *parousia* in this text is an inference to the Rapture (of the new theocratic nation to replace Israel—the Church), since it was written in the setting of prophetic
time and the disciples did not understand it as such at the time this Apocalyptic Discourse was
given by Jesus.

This conflict of the interpretation of the Hebrew text and the Greek text may be explained
by scribes, redactors or editors writing the later Greek text for Matthew and inserting the
apocalyptic time knowledge of the Rapture into the prophetic time words of Jesus. This same
idea of commingling and confusing prophetic and apocalyptic time contexts could explain
coming up with a similar interpretation when combining both passages in Luke 17 and 21
(Luke’s complete rendition of the discourse, as recorded in Matthew 24).

All this considered, and in the final analysis, it seems the most appropriate interpretation
should rely on the Hebraic rendition and disregard the use of *parousia* in the Greek. Another way
of saying this would be that the uses of *erchomai* and *parousia* by Matthew in this text are
interchangeable. This appears to be confirmed by the fact that there is no other writing of
Matthew to compare to his usage of *parousia* in this context (Hebert 2006c:48-54).

Taking into account the definition of the *Parousia* (in section 1.2.6 above) and that the
Apocalyptic Discourse is answering the disciples questions about the “end of the Age”
(*eschaton*), the *Parousia* seems to include all the events surrounding Jesus’ Second Coming put
into the language of a first-century Jewish believer. This conclusion also confirms the concept of
including Both Comings of the Lord Jesus Christ in the Gospel and lays the foundation of the
Eschatological Gospel by Jesus Himself for His disciples.